

**ERRATA TO THE
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT / ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR THE
ROSE HILL COURTS REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(SCH # 2018091035)**

Prepared by:

Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles (HACLA)
2600 Wilshire Boulevard, 4th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90057

November 2019

ERRATA

1. Description of Area Within the Project Interiors

This Errata makes minor technical corrections and clarifications to the Draft and Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the Rose Hill Courts Redevelopment Project (Project).

Following publication of the Final EIR/EIS, it was discovered that the area listed as within the interiors of the Project was the Project's gross leasable area instead of its floor area, which is the typical descriptor used by the City of Los Angeles for entitlement purposes. However, the physical Project that was analyzed in the EIR/EIS, including but not limited to the number of dwelling units, bedrooms, height, building envelopes, lot coverage, open space, grading, building equipment, parking, population, and architectural design, is accurate.

Moreover, describing the interior space in terms of gross leasable area instead of floor area is not relevant to the assessment of the Project's environmental impacts as such assessment is based on the physical Project described in the EIR/EIS. For example, the traffic analysis is based on the number of dwelling units and not the amount of leasable or floor area. Further, the aesthetic analysis assessed based on the Project's height and mass and not how the interior space is described. Therefore, all of the analysis and conclusions of the Draft EIR/EIS are correct. Nonetheless, the Errata makes a technical correction to the EIR/EIS's description of interior space in order for the sake of consistency with the City's typical approach.

2. Specific Technical Corrections to the Text of the EIR/EIS

The following technical corrections are made to the Draft EIR/EIS:

Page 1-7, fourth paragraph:

Overall, the Project would remove approximately 79,835 square feet of existing residential floor area and construct up to ~~156,926~~ 211,094 square feet of new residential floor area, resulting in a net increase of up to ~~77,094~~ 131,259 square feet of net new residential floor area within the Project Site.

Page 2-7, first full paragraph:

Overall, the Project would remove approximately 79,835 square feet of existing residential floor area and construct up to ~~156,926~~ 211,094 square feet of new residential floor area, resulting in a net increase of up to ~~77,094~~ 131,259 square feet of net new residential floor area within the Project Site

Page 2-8, Table 2.6-1:

The Approximate Floor Area Ratio for Phase 1 is changed from 1.29 to 1.34, and the Approximate Floor Area Ratio for Phase 1 is changed from 0.68 to 0.71. The overall Project Site will have a maximum FAR of 1:1.

Page 13-4, fifth paragraph:

Overall, the Project would remove approximately 79,835 square feet of existing residential floor area and construct up to ~~156,926~~ 211,094 square feet of new residential floor area, resulting in a net increase of up to ~~77,094~~ 131,259 square feet of net new residential floor area within the Project Site.

Page 13-24, third paragraph:

Overall, the Project would remove approximately 79,835 square feet of existing residential floor area and construct up to ~~156,926~~ 211,094 square feet of new residential floor area, resulting in a net increase of up to ~~77,094~~ 131,259 square feet of net new residential floor area within the Project Site.

Page 13-25, Table 13.4-1:

The Approximate Floor Area Ratio for Phase 1 is changed from 1.29 to 1.34, and the Approximate Floor Area Ratio for Phase 1 is changed from 0.68 to 0.71. The overall Project Site will have a maximum FAR of 1:1.

No other revisions to the EIR/EIS text are necessary or proposed.

3. Effect of Technical Corrections

The above technical corrections clarify and refine the EIR/EIS and provide supplemental information to the decision-makers and the public. CEQA requires recirculation of a Draft EIR only when “significant new information” is added to a Draft EIR after public notice of the availability of the Draft EIR has occurred (refer to California Public Resources Code Section 21092.1 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5), but before the EIR is certified. Section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines specifically states:

New information added to an EIR is not “significant” unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative) that the project’s proponents have declined to implement. “Significant new information” requiring recirculation includes, for example, a disclosure showing that:

- *A new significant environmental impact would result from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented.*

- *A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless mitigation measures are adopted to reduce the impact to a level of insignificance.*
- *A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed would clearly lessen the significant environmental impacts of the project, but the project's proponents decline to adopt it.*
- *The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded.*

CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 also provides that “[r]ecirculation is not required where the new information added to the EIR merely clarifies or amplifies or makes insignificant modifications in an adequate EIR... A decision not to recirculate an EIR must be supported by substantial evidence in the administrative record.”

The information added pursuant to this Errata does not contain significant new information that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse effect environmental effect of the Project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect that the Applicant has declined to adopt. Additionally, information provided in this Errata does not present a feasible Project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed in the EIR. All of the information added pursuant to this Errata merely clarifies, corrects, adds to, or makes insignificant modifications to information in the EIR. HACL A has reviewed the information in this Errata and has determined that it does not change any of the basic findings or conclusions of the EIR, does not constitute “significant new information” pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5, and does not require recirculation of the Draft EIR.